

F. No. 07-01/2012 - CP  
Government of India  
Ministry of Women and Child Development  
\*\*\*\*\*

Shastri Bhawan,  
New Delhi, Dated: July 30, 2012

**Subject: 15<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Project Sanctioning Committee on UJJAWALA- A Comprehensive Scheme for Prevention of Trafficking and Rescue, Rehabilitation and Reintegration of Victims of Trafficking for Commercial Sexual Exploitation, on 19<sup>th</sup> July 2012.**

A meeting of the Project Sanctioning Committee (PSC) for 'UJJAWALA'- A Comprehensive Scheme for Prevention of Trafficking and Rescue, Rehabilitation and Reintegration of Victims of Trafficking for Commercial Sexual Exploitation was held on 19.07.2012 in Secretary's Chamber at Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi through Video Conferencing with all the concerned States. The PSC considered proposals received from the Non- Governmental Organizations, duly recommended by the State Governments.

In all, 32 project proposals from 11 States of Andhra Pradesh, Delhi, Haryana, Karnataka, Kerala, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Orissa, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, and Uttar Pradesh were considered by the Project Sanctioning Committee.

Out of the 32 proposals, the Committee approved 18 proposals, deferred 5 proposals and rejected 9 proposals as per details given below:

| S<br>N | State          | No. of<br>proposals<br>placed in the<br>meeting | No. of<br>proposals<br>Approved | No. of<br>proposals<br>deferred | No. of<br>proposals<br>rejected |
|--------|----------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1      | Tamilnadu      | 1   | 1                               | -                               | -                               |
| 2      | Andhra Pradesh | 1   | 1                               | -                               | -                               |
| 3      | Kerela         | 2   | 2                               | -                               | -                               |
| 4      | Maharashtra    | 7   | 3                               | -                               | 4                               |
| 5      | Haryana        | 1   | -                               | 1                               | -                               |
| 6      | Karnataka      | 1   | 1                               | -                               | -                               |
| 7      | Madhya Pradesh | 3   | 2                               | 1                               | -                               |
| 8      | Rajasthan      | 4   | 3                               | -                               | 1                               |
| 9      | Uttar Pradesh  | 9   | 4                               | 3                               | 2                               |
| 10     | Orissa         | 2   | 1                               | -                               | 1                               |
| 11     | Delhi          | 1   | -                               | -                               | 1                               |
|        | Total          | 32  | 18                              | 5                               | 9                               |

The list of proposals approved/deferred/rejected by the PSC is given at Annex- I. In respect of proposals approved, the amount sanctioned will be as per the schematic norms. However, for the organizations that have been given approval for Rehabilitation (Protective and Rehabilitative Homes) component, the number of beneficiaries for which the approval is given is indicated in



the Decisions column. Where the Home is sanctioned for 25 beneficiaries, the admissible budget is attached at **Annex- II**.

The following general decisions were taken in the meeting

- State Governments should monitor the progress of Ujjawala scheme to ensure effectiveness of grants received by the organization.
- The Central Ministry is preparing Reporting and Monitoring formats for the NGOs as well as for the States. These formats would be sent to the State Government as soon as they are finalized. The State Governments are requested to provide adequate support to ensure that the monitoring systems and formats are adhered to by the department at the State and district level.
- The State Government should ensure that prevention component implemented by NGOs in vulnerable areas effectively by linking with all economic empowerment programmes of the Government.



(Sanjay Kumar Sinha)  
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India  
Tel: 011 - 23383809

All the concerned State Governments

Copy to

- i) PS to MOS (I/C), MWCD for information.
- ii) PPS to Secretary
- iii) PPS to Senior Economic Advisor
- iv) D S (Finance)
- v) PS to Additional Secretary (Center State), MHA

Copy to NIC with request to upload the minutes in Ministry's website

## Annex I

| S N | Organization's Name  | Organization's address                                      | Area of Operation | File No.     | Decision of the committee   |
|-----|--|---|-------------------|--------------|---|
| 1   | Life Line Trust  | Perumbakkam Road, Ramanaashramam, Tiruvannamalai, Tamilnadu | Salem             | 33-4/2009 CP | <p>The State Government representative has explained the project and recommended its need in the area. The PSC noted that the organization has extensive experience in anti trafficking work and it is also managing a home in Salem district.</p> <p>The PSC <b>approved the project proposal for two components i.e. Prevention and Rescue</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• List of proposed beneficiaries</li> <li>• Reasons for deficit during 2008-09</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> <li>• Annual report and Audit Statement of 2009 – 10 and 2010-11 (with reasons for deficit, if any)</li> </ul>         |
| 2   | Society for Education Welfare and Health Activities in Rural Areas | Vill + PO – Laha, Dist – Ambala, Haryana                    | Ambala            | 17-7/2012 CP | <p>The State Government representative has explained the project. However, The NGO's representative could not justify the need of the project in the proposed area as well as their ability to conduct rescue and rehabilitation services for Trafficked victims. The PSC noted that the NGO is working mainly in the field of training programme for women and children and does not have any experience in the field of anti trafficking. However, The State Government representative emphasized on the need of a P&amp;R home for trafficked victims in the State as there are no Ujjawala Homes sanctioned till date.</p> <p>The <b>proposal is therefore deferred</b>. The State proposed to assess the proposal and send a fresh recommendation for consideration.</p> |

|   |                                 |   |           |               |   |
|---|---------------------------------|---|-----------|---------------|---|
| 3 | Bharatha Rathna Mahila Mandali, | D. NO. 4/369 – J, Vivekananda Nagar, District – Kadapa, Andhra Pradesh - 516001 | Kadapa    | 12-01/2011 CP | <p>The State Government has explained the need of the project and recommended strongly for approval. The State representative also mentioned that an inspection visit was carried out by the officials and the organization was found working satisfactorily. The PSC noted that the organization has the experience in rescue and rehabilitation of trafficked women. It also has required capacity to implement the components of Ujjawala Scheme.</p> <p>The <b>PSC approved the project for Prevention, Rescue, Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only) and Reintegration components</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Explanation of deficit during 2010 – 11.</li> <li>• Rent deed and Rent Assessment Certificate from State PWD.</li> <li>• Survey report indicating prevalence of trafficking in the area.</li> </ul> |
| 4 | Cultural Academy for Peace      | Door No. 41/1964, First Floor, Piyoli Road, Dist – Ernakulam, Kerala - 682018   | Ernakulam | 22-1/2011 CP  | <p>The State representative has explained the need for the project and recommended for approval. The PSC noted that the organization has experience in working with trafficked women and also provided shelter and other rehabilitation services to trafficked victims.</p> <p>The <b>PSC has approved the project for Rehabilitation component (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rent deed</li> <li>• Rent assessment certificate from State PWD.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul>   |
| 5 | St. Bernadette Home for Women   | C/O – St. Vincent home, Dist – Kojhikode, Kerala                                |           | 22-2/2011 CP  | <p>The State representative has explained the need for the project and recommended for approval. The NGO representative also provided explanation for the need of the project. The PSC noted that the organization has experience of implementing shelter based programmes for destitute women and skilled in providing vocational trainings. The PSC also noted that the organization is under deficit for</p>   |

|   |  |  |           |               |   |
|---|--|--|-----------|---------------|---|
|   |  |  |           |               | <p>last two years to which the organization responded that the deficit has been covered by their parent organization.</p> <p>The <b>PSC has approved the project for Rehabilitation component (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written explanation for constant deficit during 2009-10 and 2010 – 11 by the organization.</li> <li>• Income and expenditure Statement of 2008-09.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul>   |
| 6 | Rashtriya Manav Vikas Samiti             | Near Ramdeo Pir Temple, Opposite Government School, Manpur, Block- Abu Road, District - Sirohi, Rajasthan – 303303 | Sirohi    | 31-01/2011 CP | <p>The organization representative has explained the project and need in the area. The State Government has also emphasized the need of the project in proposed area. The PSC noted that the organization has long experience in working with Sex workers. Further the proposed area has prevalence of sex work due to tourism.</p> <p>The <b>PSC has approved the proposal for prevention, rescue and rehabilitation component (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Detailed survey report conducted by NACO and other agencies by the State Government.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> <li>• Written justification by the organization on the need for the project.</li> </ul> |
| 7 | Human Development and Research Institute | 567, SP Mukherjee Nagar, Near Jindal Hospital, Dist – Bharatpur, Rajasthan -                                       | Bharatpur | 31-2/2011 CP  | <p>The State Government has not supported the proposal as the organization was not responding to their communication even after repeated attempts.</p> <p>Hence the proposal is <b>rejected</b> by the PSC.</p>   |

|   |                                 |   |        |               |  |
|---|---------------------------------|---|--------|---------------|--|
|   |                                 | 321001  |        |               |  |
| 8 | Mahila Udyog Prashikshan Samiti | Krishna Colony, Hospital Road, Dist – Baran, Rajasthan - 325025 | Baran  | 31-4/2011 CP  | <p>The NGO representative has explained the project and need to work with “Kanjar” Community who has been practicing sex work traditionally in the area. They have surveyed the area and found the presence of “Kanjar” community in 17 villages in the proposed area of work. The State Government has also given their justification to rehabilitate the victims. The PSC noted their justifications and the experience of the organization in managing shelter based programmes.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the project for four components i.e. Preventions, Rescue, Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only) and Reintegration</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> <li>• Rent Deed</li> <li>• Rent assessment certificate from PWD</li> <li>• Survey report indicating prevalence of trafficking the proposed area</li> </ul> <p>The repatriation component was not approved as the cases of trafficking found were only from within the State.</p> |
| 9 | Sevayatan Jeevo kalian Sansthan | NHAI Office, Daipur Road, Rajasthan - 303103                    | Jaipur | 31-10/2011 CP | <p>The State Government recommended the project as the organization is a credible organization and actively working in the proposed area. The organization’s representative also explained the project and its need in the area. He also explained the reason for continuous deficit for past three years. The PSC noted that the organization has experience in advocacy and awareness generation.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the project for Prevention component</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p>   |



|    |   |  |          |                |  |
|----|---|--|----------|----------------|--|
|    |   |  |          |                | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written explanation on the reasons for continuous deficits by the organization.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul> <p>The Grant will be as per the schematic norms and not as projected by the organization.</p>  |
| 10 | Utkarsha Mahila evam Bal Kalyan Sanstha | Sharad Nagar, Dist – Shajapur, Madhya Pradesh                              | Shajapur | 23 - 1/2011 CP | <p>The organization’s representative explained the project and their experience in development work. The PSC noted that the proposed area has population of “Bachra” community; however, the organization does not have past experiences in anti trafficking work. The State Government agreed to have a relook on the whole issue and revert back to the ministry.</p> <p>The PSC has <b>deferred</b> the project till the State Government provides detail report on the suitability of the organization in running rehabilitation programme.</p>  |
| 11 | Nagaji Seva Samiti                      | Pachuri ka Pura, Tehsil – Pura, District – Morena, Madhya Pradesh - 476115 | Morena   | 23- 2/2011 CP  | <p>The organization’s representative explained the project and also their experience in working with “Bachra” Community. The State Government also strongly recommended for the approval of the project. The PSC noted that the “Bachra” community is traditionally involved in the sex work in proposed area and the organization has been working with them.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the project for Rescue and Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only) component subject to furnishing of Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</p> |
| 12 | Sri Krishna Education and Social        | Behind Mahajan Trades, Gunjele Niwas, Sadar                                | Betul    | 23- 1/2012 CP  | <p>The organization representative has explained the project and need of the project in proposed area. The State Government also recommended the proposal by stating that during 2007 houses of</p>  |

|    |                 |  |         |               |   |
|----|-----------------|--|---------|---------------|---|
|    | Welfare Society | bazaar. Betul, Madhya Pradesh  |         |               | <p>Pardhi Community from a village were demolished and since then no rehabilitation measures were taken by the State. Due to this apathy, the homeless women from the community are either practicing or vulnerable to sex work. The PSC noted that the organization has experience in working with violence affected women and running shelter home for such women. It also noted that the area is bordering Maharashtra and hence vulnerable to trafficking.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the project for two components – Prevention and Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rent Assessment certificate from PWD</li> <li>• Survey report indicating prevalence of trafficking in the area.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul> <p>The PSC did not approve the Rescue and Reintegration component as the organization failed to explain the element of rescue as the target beneficiaries were women and means to reintegrate them.</p> |
| 13 | ADHAR           | At – Khairbhadi, PO – Kureswar, Via – Udyanbandh, Dist – Nuapada, Orissa | Nuapada | 29-01/2011 CP | <p>The State Government explained the need of the project in the area. The representative from the State has recommended the need for a P&amp;R home in the district as the area is vulnerable to trafficking and there are no such homes to rehabilitate trafficked victims. The PSC noted that the organization has experience in preventive aspect of the trafficking and has the capacity to run P&amp;R home as per State Government assessment.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the project for two components – Prevention and Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> subject to furnishing of following documents.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rent Assessment certificate from PWD</li> <li>• Survey report indicating prevalence of trafficking in the area.</li> </ul>   |



|    |                   |  |                 |              |   |
|----|-------------------|--|-----------------|--------------|---|
|    |                   |  |                 |              | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul>  |
| 14 | AAKAS             | At – Tetulikhunti, Nabarangapur Orissa.  | Nabarangapur    | 29-2/2012 CP | <p>The State Government did not support the proposal by the organization as it was found that the organization has submitted forged documents to the State Government.</p> <p>Thus the PSC <b>rejected</b> the proposal.</p>  |
| 15 | Oasis India       | 23/2 Kumaraswami Naidu Road, Frazer Town, Dist – Bangalore, Karnataka – 560005 | Bangalore Urban | 21-1/2011 CP | <p>The organization’s representative explained the project and the need to work in the area. She also explained the reasons for deficits. The State Government has strongly recommended the need of the project explaining the capability of the organization and their past association with the organization on anti trafficking work. The PSC noted that the organization has a long history in working on anti trafficking issues partnering with police and other departments of the State, and was running shelter home. The organization also has experience in repatriation of Bangladeshi victims.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the proposal for three components – Prevention, Reintegration and Repatriation</b> subject to furnishing of written explanation on reasons for deficit during 2008-09 and 2010-11.</p> <p>The organization has not projected budget estimates in the application. Therefore the grant sanctioned would be as per the schematic norms.</p> <p>The PSC did not approve the rescue component as the organization explained that they would conduct rescue with the help of police department and thus would not require grant support for that.</p> |
| 16 | Swami Vivekananda | Hingoli, Ambika Talkies Road,  | Hingoli         | 24-2/2011    | <p>The organization explained the project and its need in the area. The PSC noted that the area is vulnerable on labor migration and may be</p>   |

|    |  |  |         |              |   |
|----|--|--|---------|--------------|---|
|    | Shikshan Prasarak Mandal                     | Dist + Taluka – Hingoli, Maharashtra – 431513                                    |         | CP           | <p>treated as source area for labor trafficking. Further, the organization does not have prior experience on anti trafficking works which are sensitive in nature. The State Government agreed with the PSC’s observations.</p> <p><b>The PSC rejected the proposal</b> suggesting that the organization may apply afresh for prevention component, if interested.</p>  |
| 17 | Prerana Janseva Sanstha                      | Hingoli Railway Station Road, Hingoli, Maharashtra                               | Washim  | 24-1/2011 CP | <p>The State Government not supported the proposal as district Washim is not a trafficking prone area and thus there is no need for P&amp;R home.</p> <p>The PSC, therefore, <b>rejected</b> the proposal.</p>  |
| 18 | Bhartiya Bahuuddeshi ya Lok Shikshan Sanstha | Machhi Lay Out, Near Matoshri Vyayam Shala, Dist – Buldana, Maharashtra - 443001 | Buldana | 24-3/2011 CP | <p>The State Government representatives explained the vulnerability of the area for trafficking for commercial sex work by citing report from the State home department and recommended for the project. The NGO has also explained its experience and need for the project. The PSC noted that the organization has experience in running child line and women empowerment.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the proposal for Prevention (in one block), rescue and rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> component subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey report indicating prevalence of trafficking in the area.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> <li>• Annual report and Audit Statement of 2010-11 (with reasons for deficit, if any)</li> </ul> |

|    |                         |   |          |              |  |
|----|-------------------------|---|----------|--------------|--|
| 19 | Save the Children India | SF 9, G Block,<br>Near to American School of Bombay, Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East, Mumbai 98, Maharashtra | Amravati | 24-6/2011 CP | <p>Ms. Gurpreet Bhatia, COO of the organization has explained the project and their experience in anti trafficking work, especially on prevention aspects. She emphasized that the organization has been working in collaboration with Maharashtra Government on anti trafficking issues since past 5 years. The State Government also recommended for approval of the project mentioning the vulnerability of the district for trafficking and capacity of the organization. The PSC noted that the organization is reputed and has extensive experience on anti trafficking. They also have sufficient manpower to implement the project effectively. Further the organization is organizing vulnerable women in SHGs and linked them with financial institutions for livelihood.</p> <p>The PSC <b>approved the project for Prevention component in four blocks of the district</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written explanation on reasons for deficit during 2010-11.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul> <p>The PSC also advised the organization to create awareness and link the vulnerable families with existing Government schemes available in the area.</p> |
| 20 | Save the Children India | SF 9, G Block,<br>Near to American School of Bombay, Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East, Mumbai 98, Maharashtra | Sangli   | 24-7/2011 CP | <p>Ms. Gurpreet Bhatia, COO of the organization has explained the project and their experience in anti trafficking work, especially on prevention aspects. She emphasized that the organization has been working in collaboration with Maharashtra Government on anti trafficking issues since past 5 years. The State Government also recommended for approval of the project mentioning the vulnerability of the district for trafficking and capacity of the organization. The PSC noted that the organization is reputed and has extensive experience on anti trafficking. They also have sufficient manpower to implement</p>   |

|    |                          |  |            |              |  |
|----|--------------------------|--|------------|--------------|--|
|    |                          |  |            |              | <p>the project effectively. Further the organization is organizing vulnerable women in SHGs and linked them with financial institutions for livelihood.</p> <p>The PSC <b>approved the project for Prevention component in four blocks of the district</b> subject to furnishing of following documents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Written explanation on reasons for deficit during 2010-11.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> </ul> <p>The PSC also advised the organization to create awareness and link the vulnerable families with existing Government schemes available in the area.</p> |
| 21 | Save the Children India  | SF 9, G Block, Near to American School of Bombay, Bandra Kurla Complex Bandra East, Mumbai 98, Maharashtra | Osmana bad | 24-5/2011 CP | <p>As the proposals for Sangli and Amravati district submitted by the organization has already been approved. The PSC felt that the performance of the organization needs to be assessed in these districts before giving project for another district.</p> <p>The PSC, therefore, <b>rejected</b> the proposal.</p>   |
| 22 | Bapuji Sewabhavi Sanstha | Vokratund Pawan Nagar, Near Bavsar Chowk, Malegaon Road, Taroda (K), Taluka + Dist – Nanded, Maharashtra   | Chandrapur | 24-8/2011 CP | <p>The State Government explained that in Chandrapur district no incidences of Trafficking has been reported or observed. Therefore, the project is not required.</p> <p>The PSC noted the Statement by the State Government and <b>rejected</b> the proposal.</p>   |

|    |                       |   |              |              |  |
|----|-----------------------|---|--------------|--------------|--|
| 23 | Pragati Seva Sansthan | 117/20, Aam Block Kakadeo, Kanpur, Dist – Kanpur Nagar, Uttar Pradesh | Kanpur Nagar | 25-6/2010 CP | <p>The organization's representative explained the project and need for it in the area due to home based prostitution. Some of the women into this trade want to come out to lead a normal life. The State Government also recommended the credibility of the organization and its ability to implement the project. The PSC noted the Statements by the representatives from the State and observed that the district is very big and to restore the women in prostitution into normal life this project is required.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the proposal for two components – Prevention and Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries)</b> subject to furnishing of following documents from the State Government</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Survey report indicating prevalence of trafficking in the area.</li> <li>• <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</li> <li>• Annual report and Audit Statement of 2009-10 (with reasons for deficit, if any)</li> </ul> <p>The rescue and reintegration component has not been approved as such components are not required for women engaged in home based prostitution.</p> |
| 24 | Janta Seva Samiti     | Vill – Pakardon, PO – Mahson, Dist – Basti, Uttar Pradesh – 272124    | Srawasti     | 35-5/2011 CP | <p>The organization representative has explained the project. The State Government has also emphasized the vulnerability of the area due to its proximity with Nepal Border. The PSC noted that the organization does not have prior experience in anti trafficking work and it was unable to explain the need for rescue, rehabilitation and reintegration components of the scheme. The PSC noted that the organization is doing some advocacy and awareness generation programme.</p> <p><b>The PSC approved the project for one component – Prevention</b> subject to furnishing of <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II)</p>   |

|    |  |  |           |              |   |
|----|--|--|-----------|--------------|---|
| 25 | Adhunik Urja Vikas Evam Paryavaran Sarankshan Samiti | Sadhwara gali, Walsali Ganj, Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh – 231001                | Mirzapur  | 35-4/2011 CP | <p>The organization's representative explained the project. The PSC noted that the beneficiaries mentioned by the organization are more like destitute or violence affected women and for whom Swadhar scheme is already being run by the organization.</p> <p>The PSC, therefore, <b>rejected</b> the proposal.</p>  |
| 26 | Sanchit Vikas Sansthan                               | G S College Road, Labanpar, District – Basti, Uttar Pradesh – 272002         | Balrampur | 35-3/2011 CP | <p>The organization's representative explained the project and its need in the proposed area. The State Government representative also recommended for the project as the area is vulnerable for commercial sex trafficking for its proximity with Nepal border as well as the tourist destination in the district. The PSC noted the Statements made by the representatives from the State and found that the organization has experience in working with women affected by violence and has capacity to run the project.</p> <p>The <b>PSC approved for three components – Prevention, Rescue and Rehabilitation (for 25 beneficiaries only)</b> subject to furnishing of <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II).</p> |
| 27 | Mahila Udyog Prashikshan Kendra                      | 350 A/1, Salikganj Road, Mutthiganj, Dit – Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh - 211003 | Allahabad | 35-2/2011 CP | <p>The organization representative has explained the project and its need in the area. The State Government further emphasized the need for intervention the proposed area as it is vulnerable for trafficking due to its geography and socio-economic conditions. The PSC noted that there is already a P&amp;R home in Allahabad district and the proposed area does not have any precedence of trafficking for commercial sexual exploitation.</p> <p>The <b>PSC has approved the project for Prevention component</b> subject to furnishing <b>Part B – recommendation from State Government</b> required as per Schematic norms of Ujjawala (Annexure II).</p>   |

|    |  |  |                              |               |   |
|----|--|--|------------------------------|---------------|---|
| 28 | Nandan Khadi Gramodyog Seva Sansthan             | Lokmanpur, Dist – Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh – 221502                  | Sant Ravidas Nagar – Bhadohi | 35-1/2011 CP  | The organization has explained the project and need for intervention. The PSC noted that the area proposed is not vulnerable for trafficking for commercial sexual exploitation. Further, the content in the proposal is same as that of Radharaman Seva Samiti (S. No. 31).<br><br>The PSC, therefore, <b>rejected</b> the proposal.   |
| 29 | Gramin Shaksharata Evan Jan Jagran Sewa Sansthan | Peeragar, dist. Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh - 23                         | Ghazipur                     | 35-8/2011 CP  | The organization has explained the project. The State Government representatives further explained the need of the project in the area citing successful implementation of pilot project on combat trafficking. The PSC noted that the area is not vulnerable for trafficking for commercial sexual exploitation.<br><br>The <b>PSC deferred the project</b> citing the above reason and asked the State Government to submit an evaluation report of Pilot project on Combating trafficking implemented by the organization. |
| 30 | Gramodyog Vikas Samiti                           | Village Khandiya, Dist – Rampur, Uttar Pradesh – 244901              | Rampur                       | 35-9/2011 CP  | The State Government requested to defer the project citing the reason for incomplete documentation.<br><br>The PSC, therefore, <b>deferred</b> the proposal.  |
| 31 | Radharaman Seva Samiti                           | Sant Ravidas Nagar, Bhadohi Dist – Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh – 221308 | Mirzapur                     | 35-10/2011 CP | The State Government requested to defer the project citing the reason for incomplete documentation.<br><br>The PSC, therefore, <b>deferred</b> the proposal.  |
| 32 | Samanvit Shiksha evam Vikas Sansthan             | Flat no. 641, Ground Floor, LIG flats, East of Loni Road, Delhi -93  | East Delhi                   | 15-1/2011 CP  | The State Government has withdrawn the proposal as the organization does not possess the required capabilities and technical expertise to implement the scheme on such a sensitive subject.<br><br>The PSC, therefore, <b>rejected</b> the proposal.  |



## Revised Budget for P &amp; R Homes of 25 Victims under Ujjawala Scheme

| S.No. | Component-3 Rehabilitation  | Annual Budget (In Rs.) |
|-------|---|------------------------|
| 3.1   | Setting up of Protective Homes (25 Victims )  |                        |
| a.    | Rent*   |                        |
|       | A Grade Cities (Delhi, Mumbai, Kolkata, Chennai, Bangalore and Hyderabad (Rs.25000 x 12 months) | 300000                 |
|       | B Grade Cities (Rest of India) (Rs. 20000 x 12 months)  | 240000                 |
| b.    | Staff#  |                        |
|       | Project Director(Rs.10,000 x 1 x 12 months)   | 120000                 |
|       | Social Worker (2) (Rs.5000 x 2 x12 months)  | 120000                 |
|       | Clinical psychologist (Rs.5000 x 1 x 12 months)   | 60000                  |
|       | Clerk-cum-Accountant (Rs.3000 x 1 x 12 months)  | 36000                  |
|       | Guard(2) (Rs.2500 x 2 x 12 months)  | 60000                  |
|       | Doctor (Part-Time) (Rs.3000 x 12 months)  | 36000                  |
|       | Psychiatrist (Part-Time) (Rs.3000 x 12 months)  | 36000                  |
| c.    | Furniture/utensils, linen beds, locker etc (Non-recurring)                                      | 100000                 |
| d.    | Electricity and Water (Rs.2250 x 12 months)   | 27000                  |
| 3.2   | Basic amenities   |                        |
| a.    | Food ( Rs.700 x 25 girls x 12 months)   | 210000                 |
| b.    | Personal(Rs.150 x 25 girls x 12 months) such as clothes, toiletries, sanitary items etc.        | 45000                  |
| 3.3.  | Medical Care (Medicines includes emergency care) (Rs.200 x 25 x 12 months)                      | 60000                  |
| 3.4   | Legal Aid (Court work and documentation) (Rs.200 x 12 x 25)                                     | 60000                  |
| 3.5   | Administrative Support  | 10500                  |
| 3.6   | Education   |                        |
| a.    | Formal School Support (Rs.200 x 12 x 15 victims)  | 36000                  |
| 3.7   | Vocational Training and Income generation activities(support – Rs.5000 x 10 victims)            | 50000                  |
|       | <b>Total A Grade Cities</b>   | <b>1366500</b>         |
|       | <b>Total B Grade Cities</b>   | <b>1306500</b>         |

\* Subject to Rent Assessment Certificate from State PWD

# Wherever possible appointment of eligible SCs/STs/ OBCs/ Minorities may be undertaken